



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,692	11/24/2003	Brian J. Ray	2717P098	8318
8791 7590 08/24/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			BUI, HUNG S	
SUNNYVALE	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,692	RAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung S. Bui	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	<u>une 2007</u> .	•				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2007 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2007.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/722,692

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath/declaration filed on 04/16/2004 is acceptable.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS filed on 11/24/2003 have been considered and made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Claim 1, in line 2 and claim 2, in line 1, examiner is considered the term "capable of" as well defined as an intended use limitation. The claim limitation that employ phrase of the type "capable of" is typical of claim limitation, which may not distinguish over prior art according to the principle. It has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform, see In re Venezia, 189 USPQ 149 (CCPA 1976). Appropriate correction is required.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Art Unit: 2841

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-52 are allowed.

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: In response to remarks and claim amendments made in Applicant's Amendment filed on 06/14/2007, Applicant's argument is persuasive. *In claim 1 (similar to claim 25 and 47)*, applicant states that limitation "a release mechanism coupled with the ejector handle, the release mechanism to secure the ejector handle in the first position, and actuation, to allow movement of the ejector handle toward the second position;" and in combination with "a lock mechanism disposed in the ejector handle and movable between a locked position and an unlocked position, wherein the lock mechanism, when in the locked position, engages the release mechanism to prevent actuation of the release mechanism." This combination limitation, in conjunction with other limitation as claimed in the claim 1, was neither found to be disclosed, nor suggested by the prior art. Claims 2-24 (similar to claims 26-46 and 48-52 depend on the claims 25 and 47). Amended claims have been considered and upon conclusion of a comprehensive search of the prior art, the office indicates that the claims, as amended, are allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/722,692

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

08/18/2007 Hung Bui Art Unit 2841

PRIMARY/EXAMINER